


Tweets from 14th set of 100 posts in S4ME thread: Michael Sharpe skewered by @JohntheJack on Twitter




Indigophoton
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 692
Likes Received: 7,514
Location: UK

motherofaliens @agirlandme 18 Jun
Replying to @sfmnemonic and 4 others
My child did GET age 11, and deteriorated as a result. She is now severe age 14. I don't want anyone to be punished, I need it acknowledged universally within the medical profession that this therapy is dangerous for some patients and should not be forced upon them.

Mike Godwin ✓ @sfmnemonic
I think it's important that you continue to communicate that, and I hope the medical establishment listens.
4:03 PM - Jun 18, 2018
♥ 20 👤 See Mike Godwin's other Tweets

Indigophoton, Monday at 5:15 PM Report Bookmark #1303 Like + Multiquote Reply



Liv aka Mrs Sowester
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 1,190
Likes Received: 8,382

Robert McMullen @RobertHMcMullen 18 Jun
Replying to @_Lucibee and 5 others
Oh yes, here it is: thelancet.com/journals/lance...

So you are listed as a centre leader on the 2007 protocol and on the 2011 Lancet paper but you were not a centre leader? Can you confirm who replaced you as centre leader, and on what date and where this information is recorded? pic.twitter.com/Uy4B0z5roL

Simon Wessely @WesselyS
For the last time I am not an author on the paper because I did not make a significant contribution. I am not authorship shy - I have over 800 after all. I would have been pleased to be an author if I deserved it but I didn't.
5:18 PM - Jun 18, 2018
♥ 👤 See Simon Wessely's other Tweets

The poor chap must be having comprehension troubles, @Robert 1973 has asked if he was a centre leader and he's angrily denying being an author.
Liv aka Mrs Sowester, Monday at 5:28 PM Report Bookmark #1305 Like + Multiquote Reply



Esther12
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 1,615
Likes Received: 12,822

Wessely evades the point again.



Robert McMullen @RobertHMcMullen 18 Jun
Replying to @_Lucibee and 5 others
Oh yes, here it is: thelancet.com/journals/lance...

So you are listed as a centre leader on the 2007 protocol and on the 2011 Lancet paper but you were not a centre leader? Can you confirm who replaced you as centre leader, and on what date and where this information is recorded? pic.twitter.com/Uy4B0z5roL



Simon Wessely
@WesselyS

For the last time I am not an author on the paper because I did not make a significant contribution. I am not authorship shy - I have over 800 after all. I would have been pleased to be an author if I deserved it but I didn't.

5:18 PM - Jun 18, 2018

See Simon Wessely's other Tweets

It feels like he's used to getting away with these tricks when a) he's speaking to people who don't really care about the details and just trust him and b) there's no written record of exactly what was said.

Esther12, Monday at 5:30 PM Report Bookmark

#1306 Like + Multiquote Reply



Joh
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 552
Likes Received: 3,976
Location: Germany



Tapanui 'Flu' ('Tui Tapanui') @TapanuiFlu 18 Jun
Replying to @sfmneemonic and 2 others
Suggesting people haven't read the paper before criticising it is a recurring theme. Here's the same line directed at Carol Monaghan MP after the #PACETrial UK parliamentary debate. A hallmark of someone in deep denial? A tactic to discredit critics? Or thinly veiled insults? pic.twitter.com/JRyaKg1OVz



michael sharpe
@profmsharpe

Or perhaps a basis for sensible dialogue about said trial ?

6:00 PM - Jun 18, 2018

See michael sharpe's other Tweets

Joh, Monday at 6:05 PM Report Bookmark

#1314 Like + Multiquote Reply



Tapanui 'Flu ('Tui Tapanui')
@TapanuiFlu

Suivre

En réponse à @sfmnemonic @wilshica @profmsharpe

Suggesting people haven't read the paper before criticising it is a recurring theme. Here's the same line directed at Carol Monaghan MP after the #PACETrial UK parliamentary debate. A hallmark of someone in deep denial? A tactic to discredit critics? Or thinly veiled insults?

Traduire le Tweet



Tapanui 'Flu ('Tui Tapanui')
@TapanuiFlu

Dear Prof Sharpe, do u REALLY think @CMonaghanSNP, a physicist & former head of a secondary school science dept, would be so gobsmackingly stupid as to request a parliamentary debate about research she didn't bother to read first? Or did u mean to insult her? #PACETrialDebate

michael sharpe @profmsharpe

Replying to @MEAssociation and @CMonaghanSNP

Dear Ms Monaghan, Have you read the actual research publication. I shall be very happy to send you a copy.

23/02/18, 8:38 PM

07:28 - 18 juin 2018

5 J'aime



1



5



Tweeter votre réponse



michael sharpe @profmsharpe · 44 min

En réponse à @TapanuiFlu @sfmnemonic @wilshica

Or perhaps a basis for sensible dialogue about said trial ?

Traduire le Tweet



Cheshire, Monday at 6:49 PM Report Bookmark

#1323 Like + Multiquote Reply



Joh
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 552

Likes Received: 3,976

Location: Germany



davidtuller @davidtuller1

18 Jun

The PACE protocol promised to follow the Declaration of Helsinki, which protects research subjects and requires they be informed of "any possible conflicts of interest." PACE consent forms DID NOT DISCLOSE THE PIs strong ties to disability insurers. PACE has NO INFORMED CONSENT. twitter.com/profmsharpe/st...



michael sharpe
@profmsharpe



Persistence is good up to a point and then becomes just annoying.

5:24 PM - Jun 18, 2018



1 See michael sharpe's other Tweets



Joh, Monday at 7:02 PM Report Bookmark

#1327 Like + Multiquote Reply



Joh
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 552

Likes Received: 3,976

Location: Germany



Anil about ME
on Sunday



For anyone who is on Twitter. This thread is amazing.

Simon Wessely was thinking to be smart by bringing prominent lawyer and highschool friend Mike Godwin in the conversation.

Turns out Mike is freaking awesome. He knows Omar, Jennifer Brea's husband and criticises the PACE-trial himself. This thread is totally turning on Michael Sharpe and Simon Wessely. They are clearly not controlling the narrative anymore. So cool. Have fun!! ... [See More](#)



TWITTER.COM

Carolyn Wilshire on Twitter

"Can an author on PACE Lancet paper genuinely be una..."



64



8



16

Joh, Monday at 7:47 PM Report Bookmark

#1330 Unlike + Multiquote Reply

Likes Received:

2,810

they are anyway:



Simon Wessely @WesselyS

18 Jun

Replying to @RobertHMcMullen and 3 others

Just checked back with MS. He thinks I was when first version written but I handed over before they started. I certainly did help recruit patients at the King's site and I remember talking to the team on recruitment/consent



Lucibee
@_Lucibee



You're also listed in the main paper (2011) as a centre leader and SMC doctor. Do [@thelancet](#) need to make a correction?

4:23 PM - Jun 18, 2018

♥ 31 👤 See Lucibee's other Tweets



michael sharpe @profmsharpe

18 Jun

Replying to @davidtuller1 @sfmnemonic

The standard procedure for Lancet commentaries is that you are allowed to see it and offer comments or suggestions- not to influence or change it.
Ask them if you don't believe me.



davidtuller
@davidtuller1



Nice try, Michael--but that argument won't wash. Then why didn't you suggest to your Dutch friends that to claim PACE participants "recovered" based on a "strict criterion" was not true and should be removed from the commentary? Surely they would have listened.

3:44 PM - Jun 18, 2018

♥ 12 👤 See davidtuller's other Tweets





Judy Hamilton @secretspartacus

14 Jun

Replying to @sfmneemonic @RobertHMcMullen

This is a very reasonable presumption. However when thousands of patients report the harmful effects of said treatment over a period of yrs & way data was used is in question, another presumption would be a bit of humility or even an apology would be forthcoming from researchers



Mike Godwin

@sfmneemonic



None of the foregoing is a defense of the PACE trial, which, based on my current understanding, seems so profoundly flawed that it cannot be trusted. Nor is it a defense of researchers who should have been more rigorous. But humility is good practice for all of us, and ...

11:47 AM - Jun 14, 2018

158 48 people are talking about this



Cosmicella @_Sue_W_

10 Jun

Replying to @WesselyS and 5 others

The DWP's safety from forking out money to the undeserving think-they're-ill-but-they're-not scroungers?



Simon Wessely

@WesselyS



Cant answer for DWP. Wasn't there. But It has a legitimate interest in helping people get back to employment given that the opposite is usually bad for health Sadly PACE didn't help that. Since then we have learned u need additional occupational support for that. Not just CBT

10:49 AM - Jun 10, 2018

3 See Simon Wessely's other Tweets





Mike Godwin  @sfmnemonic

17 Jun

Replying to @profmsharpe @davidtuller1

For what it's worth, nobody has paid me for my opinions as offered here. My predisposition is to uncouple judgments about personalities from judgments about methodologies and data.



michael sharpe
@profmsharpe



Apologies. I wasn't suggesting you were. But sadly David Tuller is paid to trash this research. Ask him.

7:17 PM - Jun 17, 2018

  See michael sharpe's other Tweets



<https://twitter.com/secretspartacus...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1008683762367041537>

<https://twitter.com/sfmnemonic/stat...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1008329868944306180>

<https://twitter.com/RobertHMcMullen...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1007278073010704385>

<https://twitter.com/DrCSGillberg/st...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1005015724082057216>

<https://twitter.com/sfmnemonic/stat...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1007282400458571779>

<https://twitter.com/richardvallee/status/1008474060706246663>

<https://twitter.com/WesselyS/status...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1007505766717231105>

<https://twitter.com/sfmnemonic/stat...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1008399982494396416>

<https://twitter.com/davidtuller1/status/1008410791916126208>

<https://twitter.com/profmsharpe/sta...o/iframe/twitter.min.html#1008411137162010624>

Daisymay, Monday at 8:11 PM [Report](#) [Bookmark](#)

#1335 [Like](#) [+ Multiquote](#) [Reply](#)



Indigophoton
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 692
Likes Received: 7,514
Location: UK



Jeff Schwartz @webdog6

18 Jun

Replying to @sfmnemonic and 3 others

@4WorkWell and @UOPacific exercise physiologists: "Our experiences working with ME/CFS patients are that graded exercise...not only fails to improve function, but is detrimental to the health of patients and should not be recommended." workwellfoundation.org/wp-content/upl...



Mike Godwin ✓

@sfmnemonic



Certainly it is very paradigm-challenging to learn that the thing that works to remediate some other conditions causes harm in the context of this one.

12:34 AM - Jun 18, 2018

♡ 36 👤 See Mike Godwin's other Tweets



It'sME(Jaime) @exceedhergrasp1

18 Jun

Replying to @sfmnemonic and 6 others

Remember, we've grown up within the same paradigm too, and many of us have science & medical backgrounds. I've seen so many patient reports of trying to just do a bit more day by day because they're so certain it should work. Yet BPS school says we have a 'phobia of movement'.



Mike Godwin ✓

@sfmnemonic



Same paradigm for me too. I really had to grit my teeth to accept the possibility that graduated efforts at improvement might make things worse. But I take the patients' testimony seriously.

8:01 PM - Jun 18, 2018

♡ 28 👤 See Mike Godwin's other Tweets



BurnA
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 240
Likes Received: 1,941

Jonathan Edwards said: ↑

Kno idea.

Is the editorial the same as the commentary or are they different things?

ETA :



davidtuller @davidtuller1

17 Jun

I have never said they were "recovered" at baseline. I have always said they were "recovered" for physical function at baseline, which is true. So do not accuse me of being misleading. The Lancet commentary approved by PACE team called it "a strict criterion for recovery." twitter.com/cfs_research/s...



michael sharpe

@profmsharpe



FYI. Lancet commentaries are independent.

7:32 PM - Jun 17, 2018

♡ 👤 See michael sharpe's other Tweets



BurnA, Monday at 9:54 PM Report Bookmark

#1356 Like + Multiquote Reply



Indigophoton
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 692
Likes Received: 7,514
Location: UK



Michael Sharpe @profmsharpe 17 Jun
Replying to @sfmnemonic @davidtuller1
Apologies. I wasn't suggesting you were. But sadly David Tuller is paid to trash this research. Ask him.



Mike Godwin ✓
@sfmnemonic

I'll follow up with David on that point.
10:20 PM - Jun 17, 2018

9 See Mike Godwin's other Tweets

Indigophoton, Monday at 10:01 PM Report Bookmark

#1358 Like + Multiquote Reply



Robert 1973
Senior Member
(Voting Rights)

Messages: 131
Likes Received: 1,962
Location: UK



Simon Wessely @WesselyS 18 Jun
Replying to @RobertHMcMullen and 5 others
For the last time I am not an author on the paper because I did not make a significant contribution. I am not authorship shy - I have over 800 after all. I would have been pleased to be an author if I deserved it but I didn't.



Robert McMullen
@RobertHMcMullen

You stated above that if you were a centre leader you would have been an author "as it's a responsible position". The evidence suggests that you were a centre leader. Can you provide any reliable evidence to the contrary?

5:29 PM - Jun 18, 2018

13 See Robert McMullen's other Tweets



Simon Wessely @WesselyS 18 Jun
Replying to @RobertHMcMullen and 5 others
For the last time I am not an author on the paper because I did not make a significant contribution. I am not authorship shy - I have over 800 after all. I would have been pleased to be an author if I deserved it but I didn't.



Dr Keith Geraghty
@keithgeraghty

nobody mentions author of Lancet paper, SW only talks of authorship. Classic tactic. Next it will be "Im not discussing this further". Trial mgt group, trial manual author, named on MRC PACE application, centre lead at Kings, stats oversight of PACE data, dr in treatment arms also

5:39 PM - Jun 18, 2018

10 See Dr Keith Geraghty's other Tweets